

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 24, 2001 LB 541

Wehrbein described to you and the committee felt very good about that methodology, especially in the context and with the timing that is involved. The other alternative would have been to go back to delay the budget deliberations, to go back entirely through the budget for another week or two and try to cut out another 10 to 20 million dollars, which, in our opinion, would have wreaked considerable havoc with the budget. So what you have is an allocation for A bills and I wanted to describe that just in a little bit more detail because I know it confuses me and I know it confuses people as to how that actually works. You'll see on line 35 that \$25 million that is over...I'm on page 4 now of the handout, the "General Fund Financial Status". You'll see on line 35 that \$25 million there that we're over the minimum reserve. Well, that means this year, for A bills, if you're talking about A bills that are ongoing appropriations, as opposed to one-time expenditures, for example, like a capital construction item, if you're talking about ongoing expenditures that means for this year you...you could...you could pass ongoing A bills for about \$12.5 million. So that you'd add \$12.5 million to that '01-02 column and you'd have to add that, since it's continuing, to the '02-03 column, and that would deplete your \$25 million. Now, the alternatives, of course, are you could do one-time capital construction and if you...and if you did that, of course, it would...it would fit differently into the...into the columns. You could do \$25 million in one year if it were one time and then, of course, you have combinations of ongoing and one-time types of bills. So...but most of the bills we're talking about are ongoing, so if you're thinking of it in that terms it's about...it's about \$12 million that we can do this year, and that would take us down to our minimum reserve. And the last thing, the last point I wanted to make, keep in mind again that we have two reserves. If we have those A bills that we just discussed, it would take us down to our 3 percent minimum reserve so that's one reserve, and you can see the...the figure there for how much money that represents. And then, in addition to that, Senator Wehrbein was talking about what we call the "rainy day fund", the other Cash Reserve Fund, which would be taken down to \$78 million. So you'd be adding the \$78 million to the \$190 million that is the minimum 3 percent reserve, and between those two reserves, the sum of those two figures, is what you would have essentially for